arXiv:1610.03526v1 [hep-ph] 11 Oct 2016

Nucleon parton distributions in a light-front quark model

Thomas Gutsche,[1] Valery E. Lyubovitskij,[1, 2, 3] and Ivan Schmidt[4]

[1] *Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Tübingen, Kepler Center for Astro and Particle Physics, Auf der Morgenstelle 14, D-72076 Tübingen, Germany*
[2] *Department of Physics, Tomsk State University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*
[3] *Laboratory of Particle Physics, Mathematical Physics Department, Tomsk Polytechnic University, 634050 Tomsk, Russia*
[4] *Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso (CCTVal), Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Casilla 110-V, Valparaíso, Chile*

(Dated: October 13, 2016)

Continuing with our analysis of parton distributions in the nucleon, we extend our light-front quark model in order to obtain both the helicity independent and helicity dependent parton distributions, analytically matching the results of global fits at the initial scale $\mu \sim 1$ GeV, and which also contain the correct Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi evolution. We also calculate the transverse parton, Wigner and Husimi distributions from a unified point of view, using our light-front wave functions and expressing them in terms of the parton distributions $q_v(x)$ and $\delta q_v(x)$. Our results are very relevant for the current and future program of the COMPASS experiment at SPS (CERN).

PACS numbers: 12.38.Lg, 13.40.Gp, 14.20.Dh, 14.65.Bt
Keywords: nucleons, light-front quark model, quark counting rules, form factors, parton distributions, Wigner and Husimi distributions

I. INTRODUCTION

In Refs. [1, 2] we proposed phenomenological light-front wave functions (LFWFs) for the nucleon, which produce a description of electromagnetic form factors of nucleons consistent with data and with the correct power behavior at higher scales [3]. The difference in the two papers [1] and [2] concerns the modelling of the x-dependence, which has an impact on the scaling behavior of nucleon parton distributions. In the first case [1] the nucleon parton distributions have the correct x behavior at large scales, while at the initial scale $\mu \sim 1$ GeV they were different from the results of the world data analysis. In the second paper [2], we improved the x-dependence of the LFWFs in a such way, that the modified LFWFs produced the correct helicity independent parton distributions at the starting point for the Dokshitzer-Gribov-Lipatov-Altarelli-Parisi (DGLAP) evolution [4]. In the last case [2] we also had some freedom in setting up the LFWFs, because we did not consider helicity dependent parton distributions. A similar application for the pion LFWFs, resulting in good agreement with data and in the correct scaling of form factors and parton distributions, has been done in Ref. [5].

In the present manuscript we derive the nucleon LFWFs where now the x-dependence is encoded by knowledge of the helicity independent $q_v(x)$ and helicity dependent $\delta q_v(x)$ valence parton distributions. The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we construct the nucleon LFWFs, which will be used for the calculation of parton distributions and form factors using the presentations of these quantities in terms of the LFWFs. In Sec. III we collect the well-known decompositions of the nucleon Dirac and Pauli form factors, parton distributions (including longitudinal, transverse, Wigner and Husimi distributions) in terms of the LFWFs. In Sec. IV we present our numerical results and discussion. Finally, Sec. V contains our summary and conclusions. We have collected some technical material on the Wigner and Husimi parton distributions in the appendix.

II. NUCLEON LIGHT-FRONT WAVE FUNCTIONS

For simplicity we consider the quark-scalar diquark model, where the generic ansatz for the massless LFWFs at the initial scale $\mu_0 = 1$ GeV reads [1, 2]

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \varphi^{(1)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,,\\
\psi^+_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= -\frac{k^1+ik^2}{M_N}\,\varphi^{(2)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,,\\
\psi^-_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{k^1-ik^2}{M_N}\,\varphi^{(2)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,,\\
\psi^-_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \varphi^{(1)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\varphi^{(1)}_q$ and $\varphi^{(2)}_q$ are the LFWFs:

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{(1)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{4\pi}{M_N}\sqrt{\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}}\sqrt{D^{(1)}_q(x)}\\
&\times\ \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{k}^2_\perp}{2M^2_N}D^{(1)}_q(x)\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi^{(2)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{4\pi}{M_N}\sqrt{\frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}}\,D^{(2)}_q(x)\\
&\times\ \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{k}^2_\perp}{2M^2_N}D^{(2)}_q(x)\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Here M_N is the nucleon mass, $q_v(x)$ and $\delta q_v(x)$ are the helicity independent and helicity dependent valence quark parton distributions (for these quantities the exact expressions from a world data analysis at the initial scale are understood), $D_q^{(1)}$ and $D_q^{(2)}$ are the longitudinal wave functions, connected to the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon. Therefore, in our ansatz for the nucleon LFWFs only the functions $D_q^{(1)}(x)$ and $D_q^{(2)}(x)$ are free to be modelled. Note, that the nucleon mass M_N is chosen as the scale parameter. It is easy to see that the choice of a specific scale Λ in Eq. (1) is not important. A change of the scale $\Lambda \to \tilde{\Lambda} = \alpha\,\Lambda$ in (1) can be compensated by a rescaling of the longitudinal functions $D_q^{(1)}$ and $D_q^{(2)}$ as

$$D_q^{(i)} \to \tilde{D}_q^{(i)} = \alpha^2\, D_q^{(i)}\,. \tag{4}$$

For simplicity we therefore choose a scale coinciding with the nucleon mass $\Lambda = M_N$. Our functions $\varphi_q^{(1)}$ and $\varphi_q^{(2)}$ are normalized as

$$\begin{aligned}\int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\left[\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right]^2 &= \frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,,\\ \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\left[\varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right]^2 &= \frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\left[\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right]^2 &= \frac{n_q+g_A^q}{2}\,,\\ \int_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\left[\varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right]^2 &= \frac{n_q-g_A^q}{2}\,,\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

where n_q is the number of u or d valence quarks in the proton and g_A^q is the axial charge of a quark with flavor $q = u$ or d.

The functions $\varphi_q^{(1)}$ and $\varphi_q^{(2)}$ are generalizations of the LFWFs found by matching the electromagnetic form factors of the nucleon in soft-wall AdS/QCD [6]-[10] and light-front QCD (see the detailed discussion in Refs. [1, 2]). In particular, as a result of the matching procedure the function $\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)$ has been deduced as:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_q^{\mathrm{AdS/QCD}(i)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &\sim \frac{4\pi}{\kappa}\,\frac{\sqrt{\log(1/x)}}{1-x}\\ &\times\ \exp\left[-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2\kappa^2}\,\frac{\log(1/x)}{(1-x)^2}\right].\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Note that the derived LFWF is not symmetric under the exchange $x \to 1-x$. This asymmetry results from the matching of matrix elements of the bare electromagnetic current between the dressed LFWF in light-front QCD and of the dressed electromagnetic current between hadronic wave functions in AdS/QCD.

Concerning the $\mathbf{k}_\perp$ dependence of the $\varphi_q^{(1,2)}$ functions we use a specific functional form for them — Gaussian ansatz. However, a generalized ansatz for $\varphi_q^{(i)}$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{4\pi}{M_N}\sqrt{\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}}\,\sqrt{D_q^{(1)}(x)}\\ &\times\ \psi_1\!\left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}D_q^{(1)}(x)\right),\\ \varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{4\pi}{M_N}\sqrt{\frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}}\,D_q^{(2)}(x)\\ &\times\ \psi_2\!\left(-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}D_q^{(2)}(x)\right)\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where the functions ψ_1 and ψ_2 must satisfy the normalization conditions following from Eq. (5)

$$\begin{aligned}\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp\left[\psi_1(-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\right]^2 &= \frac{\pi}{2}\,,\\ \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\mathbf{k}_\perp^2\left[\psi_2(-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2)\right]^2 &= \frac{\pi}{4}\,.\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

III. LIGHT-FRONT DECOMPOSITIONS FOR THE NUCLEON QUANTITIES

A. Form factors and parton distributions

In this section we collect the well-known decompositions of the nucleon form factors and parton distributions in terms of the nucleon LFWFs. First we quote [11] the connection of the nucleon Dirac and Pauli form factors $F_{1,2}^N$ $(N = p, n)$ with the valence quark distributions $F_{1,2}^q$ $(q = u, d)$ in nucleons with

$$F_i^{p(n)}(Q^2) = \frac{2}{3}F_i^{u(d)}(Q^2) - \frac{1}{3}F_i^{d(u)}(Q^2)\,. \tag{10}$$

The valence quark distributions are related to the the nucleon nonforward parton densities (NPDs) [11] $\mathcal{H}^q(x,Q^2)$ and $\mathcal{E}^q(x,Q^2)$ evaluated at zero skewness $\xi = 0$ as

$$\begin{aligned}F_1^q(Q^2) &= \int_0^1 dx\,\mathcal{H}^q(x,Q^2)\,,\\ F_2^q(Q^2) &= \int_0^1 dx\,\mathcal{E}^q(x,Q^2)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

where Q^2 is the Euclidean momentum squared. At $Q^2 = 0$ the NPDs are related to the quark densities — valence $q_v(x)$ and magnetic $\mathcal{E}_q(x)$ as

$$\mathcal{H}^q(x,0) = q_v(x)\,,\quad \mathcal{E}^q(x,0) = \mathcal{E}^q(x)\,, \tag{12}$$

which are normalized as

$$\begin{aligned}n_q &= F_1^q(0) = \int_0^1 dx\,q_v(x)\,,\\ \kappa_q &= F_2^q(0) = \int_0^1 dx\,\mathcal{E}^q(x)\,,\end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

where κ_q is the anomalous quark magnetic moment.

The nucleon Sachs form factors $G^N_{E/M}(Q^2)$ and the electromagnetic radii $\langle r^2_{E/M}\rangle^N$ are given in terms of the Dirac and Pauli form factors as:

$$\begin{aligned} G^N_E(Q^2) &= F^N_1(Q^2) - \frac{Q^2}{4m^2_N} F^N_2(Q^2)\,, \\ G^N_M(Q^2) &= F^N_1(Q^2) + F^N_2(Q^2)\,, \\ \langle r^2_E\rangle^N &= -6\,\frac{dG^N_E(Q^2)}{dQ^2}\bigg|_{Q^2=0}\,, \\ \langle r^2_M\rangle^N &= -\frac{6}{G^N_M(0)}\,\frac{dG^N_M(Q^2)}{dQ^2}\bigg|_{Q^2=0}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $G^N_M(0) \equiv \mu_N$ is the nucleon magnetic moment.

The light-front representation [12, 13] for the Dirac and Pauli quark form factors is

$$\begin{aligned} F^q_1(Q^2) &= \int\limits_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \Big[\psi^{+\,*}_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}'_\perp)\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\ &+ \psi^{+\,*}_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}'_\perp)\psi^+_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned} F^q_2(Q^2) &= -\frac{2M_N}{q^1 - iq^2} \int\limits_0^1 dx \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp}{16\pi^3} \\ &\times \Big[\psi^{+\,*}_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}'_\perp)\psi^-_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\ &+ \psi^{+\,*}_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}'_\perp)\psi^-_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

where $\mathbf{k}'_\perp = \mathbf{k}_\perp + \mathbf{q}_\perp(1-x)$. Here $\psi^{\lambda_N}_{\lambda_q q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)$ are the LFWFs at the initial scale μ_0 with specific helicities for the nucleon $\lambda_N = \pm$ and for the struck quark $\lambda_q = \pm$, where plus and minus correspond to $+\frac{1}{2}$ and $-\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. We work in the frame with $q = (0, 0, \mathbf{q}_\perp)$, and where the Euclidean momentum squared is $Q^2 = \mathbf{q}^2_\perp$. For the initial scale we choose the value $\mu_0 \sim 1$ GeV which is used in the most of the global fits.

Expressions for the quark helicity-independent NPDs $\mathcal{H}^q$ and $\mathcal{E}^q$ in the nucleon read

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}^q(x,Q^2) &= \frac{q_v(x) + \delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-t^{(11)}_q(x,Q^2)} \\ &+ \frac{q_v(x) - \delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-t^{(22)}_q(x,Q^2)} \\ &\times \Big[1 - t^{(22)}_q(x,Q^2)\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

$$\mathcal{E}^q(x,Q^2) = \mathcal{E}^q(x)\, e^{-t^{(12)}_q(x,Q^2)}\,, \tag{18}$$

where

$$t^{(ij)}_q(x,Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{4M^2_N}\,\frac{2D^{(i)}_q(x)\,D^{(j)}_q(x)}{D^{(i)}_q(x) + D^{(j)}_q(x)}\,(1-x)^2\,. \tag{19}$$

The magnetization PDF $\mathcal{E}^q(x)$ reads

$$\mathcal{E}^q(x) = 4\sqrt{q^2_v(x) - \delta q^2_v(x)}\,\sqrt{D^{(1)}_q(x)}\,\frac{(1-x)\,\sigma_q(x)}{\Big[1 + \sigma_q(x)\Big]^2}\,. \tag{20}$$

where $\sigma_q(x) = D^{(2)}_q(x)/D^{(1)}_q(x)$.

Our expressions for the helicity-independent NPDs and PDFs contain only four unknown functions $D^{(i)}_q(x)$ with $q = u, d$ and $i = 1, 2$.

Note that by appropriate choice of the longitudinal functions $D^{(i)}_q(x)$ we can guarantee the required scaling of the nucleon form factors at large Q^2. For example, if we adopt the following scaling of the quark helicity independent PDFs

$$q_v(x) \sim (1-x)^3\,, \quad \mathcal{E}^q(x) \sim (1-x)^5\,, \tag{21}$$

we should choose $D^{(i)}_q$ functions with the scaling behavior

$$D^{(1)}_q(x) \sim (1-x)^0\,, \quad D^{(2)}_q(x) \sim (1-x)^{-1}\,. \tag{22}$$

Thus we obtain the correct large Q^2 scaling of the quark form factors of the form

$$F^q_1(Q^2) \sim \int\limits_0^1 dx(1-x)^3 \exp\Big[-\frac{Q^2}{4M^2_N}(1-x)^2\Big] \sim \frac{1}{Q^4} \tag{23}$$

and

$$F^q_2(Q^2) \sim \int\limits_0^1 dx(1-x)^5 \exp\Big[-\frac{Q^2}{4M^2_N}(1-x)^2\Big] \sim \frac{1}{Q^6}\,. \tag{24}$$

This behavior guarantees the correct power scaling of the nucleon Dirac and Pauli form factors at higher Q^2 consistent with quark counting rules [3]:

$$F^N_1(Q^2) \sim 1/Q^4\,, \quad F^N_2(Q^2) \sim 1/Q^6\,. \tag{25}$$

B. Transverse momentum dependent parton distributions

In the quark-diquark model, the light-front decomposition for the transverse momentum dependent parton distributions (TMDs) is discussed in detail in Ref. [14] (see also Ref. [15]). For the recent progress in the extraction of TMDs from data see e.g. Refs. [16]-[20]. The set of the valence quark T-even TMDs for the case of the quark-scalar diquark model is given by [14]:

$$\begin{aligned} & f^{q_v}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \equiv h^{q_v}_{1T}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\Big[|\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2 + |\psi^+_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2\Big] \\ &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\Big[\Big(\varphi^{(1)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big)^2 + \frac{\mathbf{k}^2_\perp}{M^2_N}\Big(\varphi^{(2)}_q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big)^2\Big]\,, \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left[|\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2 - |\psi^+_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left[\left(\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right)^2 - \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\left(\varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right)^2\right], \qquad (27)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{1T}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &\equiv -h_{1L}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left[\psi^{+\,*}_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\psi^-_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,\frac{M_N}{k^1 - ik^2}\right. \\
&+ \left.\psi^{-\,*}_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,\frac{M_N}{k^1 + ik^2}\right] \\
&= \frac{1}{8\pi^3}\,\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,\varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \qquad (28)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &\equiv h_{1T}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) + \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}h_{1T}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\Big[f_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) + g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big] \\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\,|\psi^+_{+q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2 = \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left(\varphi_q^{(1)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right)^2, \qquad (29)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}h_{1T}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) - f_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big] \\
&= g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) - h_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\
&= -\frac{1}{16\pi^3}\,|\psi^+_{-q}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)|^2 \\
&= -\frac{1}{16\pi^3}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\left(\varphi_q^{(2)}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right)^2. \qquad (30)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using our expressions for the LFWFs we can express the TMDs through the PDFs

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &\equiv h_{1T}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \\
&= \mathcal{F}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) + \mathcal{F}_2(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \\
g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \mathcal{F}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) - \mathcal{F}_2(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \\
g_{1T}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &\equiv -h_{1L}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \mathcal{F}_3(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \\
h_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \mathcal{F}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \\
\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}h_{1T}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= -\mathcal{F}_2(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \qquad (31)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2}\,\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,D_q^{(1)}(x) \\
&\times\; e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}D_q^{(1)}(x)}\,, \\
\mathcal{F}_2(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2}\,\frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\left(D_q^{(2)}(x)\right)^2 \\
&\times\; e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}D_q^{(2)}(x)}\,, \\
\mathcal{F}_3(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \sqrt{\frac{4M_N^2}{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}\,\mathcal{F}_1(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,\mathcal{F}_2(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)} \\
&= \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2}\,\sqrt{q_v^2(x)-\delta q_v^2(x)}\,\sqrt{D_q^{(1)}(x)}\,D_q^{(2)}(x) \\
&\times\; e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}\left(D_q^{(1)}(x)+D_q^{(2)}(x)\right)}\,. \qquad (32)
\end{aligned}
$$

Performing the $\mathbf{k}_\perp$-integration over the TMDs with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{TMD}(x) &= \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\mathrm{TMD}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \\
\overline{\mathrm{TMD}}(x) &= \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp\,\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}\,\mathrm{TMD}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) \qquad (33)
\end{aligned}
$$

results in the identities

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_1^{q_v}(x) &\equiv h_{1T}^{q_v}(x) = q_v(x)\,, \\
g_{1L}^{q_v}(x) &= \delta q_v(x)\,, \\
g_{1T}^{q_v}(x) &\equiv -h_{1L}^{\perp q_v}(x) = \mathcal{E}^q(x)\,\frac{1+\sigma_q(x)}{2(1-x)}\,, \\
h_1^{q_v}(x) &= \frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,, \\
\overline{h_{1T}^{\perp q_v}}(x) &= -\frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,. \qquad (34)
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, the integration over x leads to the normalization conditions

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_0^1 dx f_1^{q_v}(x) = \int_0^1 dx h_{1T}^{q_v}(x) &= n_q\,, \\
\int_0^1 dx g_{1L}^{q_v}(x) &= g_A^q\,, \\
\int_0^1 dx h_1^{q_v}(x) &= g_T^q\,, \qquad (35)
\end{aligned}
$$

where g_T^q is the tensor charge.

Our TMD, independently on the longitudinal functions $D_q^{(i)}$, satisfy all relations and inequalities found before in

theoretical approaches [21]:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|f_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)| > |h_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)| > |g_{1L}^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)| \geq 0\,,\\
&h_1^{q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,h_{1T}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = -\frac{1}{2}\left[h_{1L}^{\perp q_v}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\right]^2,\\
&|h_1^{q_v}(x)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(f_1^{q_v}(x) + g_1^{q_v}(x))\,,\\
&|g_T^q| > |g_A^q|\,. \qquad (36)
\end{aligned}
$$

C. Wigner distributions

In light-front QCD the Wigner distributions read [22–24]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^{q[\Gamma]}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;S) &= \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp}{4\pi^2}\, e^{-i\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp\mathbf{b}_\perp}\\
&\times\; W^{q[\Gamma]}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;S)\,, \qquad (37)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $W^{q[\Gamma]}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;S)$ is the matrix element of the Wigner operator for $\Delta^+ = 0$ and $z^+ = 0$. The light-front decomposition of the Wigner matrix elements $W^{q[\Gamma]}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;S)$ is given by [23]

$$
\begin{aligned}
&W^{q[\gamma^+]}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;\pm e_z)\\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left[\psi_{q+}^{\pm\dagger}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^+)\psi_{q+}^{\pm}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^-) + \psi_{q-}^{\pm\dagger}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^+)\psi_{q-}^{\pm}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^-)\right], \qquad (38)\\
&W^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp;\pm e_z)\\
&= \frac{1}{16\pi^3}\left[\psi_{+q}^{\pm\dagger}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^+)\psi_{+q}^{\pm}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^-) - \psi_{-q}^{\pm\dagger}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^+)\psi_{-q}^{\pm}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp^-)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbf{k}_\perp^\pm = \mathbf{k}_\perp \pm (1-x)\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp/2$.

Next we use the standard definitions of the Wigner distributions, specified by the nucleon helicity λ_N and the quark helicity λ_q [23]

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^q_{\lambda_N\lambda_q}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\rho^{q[\gamma^+]}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\lambda_N\vec{e}_z)\\
&+\; \lambda_q\rho^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\lambda_N\vec{e}_z)\Big], \qquad (39)
\end{aligned}
$$

which can be further expressed in terms of distributions where the proton and the struck quark are unpolarized (U) or longitudinally polarized (L):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho^q_{\lambda_N\lambda_q} &= \frac{1}{2}\Big[\rho^q_{UU} + \lambda_N\rho^q_{LU} + \lambda_q\rho^q_{UL} + \lambda_N\lambda_q\rho^q_{LL}\Big],\\
\rho^q_{UU} &= \rho^{q[\gamma^+]}(\vec{e}_z) + \rho^{q[\gamma^+]}(-\vec{e}_z)\,,\\
\rho^q_{LU} &= \rho^{q[\gamma^+]}(\vec{e}_z) - \rho^{q[\gamma^+]}(-\vec{e}_z)\,,\\
\rho^q_{UL} &= \rho^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(\vec{e}_z) + \rho^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(-\vec{e}_z)\,,\\
\rho^q_{LL} &= \rho^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(\vec{e}_z) - \rho^{q[\gamma^+\gamma^5]}(-\vec{e}_z)\,. \qquad (40)
\end{aligned}
$$

The Fourier transforms ω_{AB}, $A,B = U,L$ with respect to the $\mathbf{b}_\perp$ variable we define as

$$
\omega^q_{AB}(x,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp\, e^{i\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp\mathbf{b}_\perp}\,\rho^q_{AB}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,. \qquad (41)
$$

Expressions for the Wigner distributions ρ^q_{AB} and ω^q_{AB} in the light-front quark-diquark approach are listed in Appendix A.

D. Quark orbital angular momentum

Following Ji [25] we define the quark contribution to the nucleon spin as

$$
\begin{aligned}
J^q_z &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx x\Big[\mathcal{H}^q(x,0) + \mathcal{E}^q(x,0)\Big]\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx x\Big[q_v(x) + \mathcal{E}^q(x)\Big] \qquad (42)
\end{aligned}
$$

and the quark angular momentum contribution

$$
\begin{aligned}
L^q_z &= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx\left(x\Big[\mathcal{H}^q(x,0) + \mathcal{E}^q(x,0)\Big] - \tilde{H}^q(x)\right)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx\left(x\Big[q_v(x) + \mathcal{E}^q(x)\Big] - \delta q_v)(x)\right). \qquad (43)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that in this approach the TMD $h_{1T}^\perp(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)$ is not related to the quark orbital momentum L^q_z

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}^q_z &= -\int_0^1 dx d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{2M_N^2}\, h_{1T}^\perp(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\\
&\neq L^q_z\,. \qquad (44)
\end{aligned}
$$

The next interesting quantity is the averaged quark orbital momentum in a nucleon which is polarized in the z-direction [23]

$$
\begin{aligned}
l^q_z &= \langle\hat{L}^q_z\rangle^{[\gamma^+]}(\vec{e}_z)\\
&= \int_0^1 dx d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp\,(\mathbf{b}_\perp\times\mathbf{k}_\perp)_z\\
&\times\; \rho^{[\gamma^+]}(\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x,\vec{e}_z)\\
&= \int_0^1 dx d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp\,(\mathbf{b}_\perp\times\mathbf{k}_\perp)_z\\
&\times\; \rho^q_{LU}(\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x)\\
&= \frac{1}{2}\int_0^1 dx(1-x)\Big(q_v(x) - \delta q_v(x)\Big)\,. \qquad (45)
\end{aligned}
$$

Another relevant quantity is the correlation between the quark spin and the orbital angular momentum [23] with

$$C_z^q = \int_0^1 dx d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \, (\mathbf{b}_\perp \times \mathbf{k}_\perp)_z \times \rho_{UL}^q(\mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp, x) \,, \quad (46)$$

which in our approach is opposite to the quantity l_z^q with

$$C_z^q \equiv -l_z^q \quad (47)$$

because of $\rho_{UL}^q(\mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp, x) = -\rho_{LU}^q(\mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp, x)$.

E. Husimi distribution

Finally, we consider the Husimi distribution function for the nucleon, which was recently discussed in detail in Ref. [26]. As was stressed in [26] this distribution is better behaved and positive in comparison to the Wigner distribution. It also gives a probabilistic interpretation and can be used to define the entropy of the nucleon as a measure of the complexity of the partonic structure. It also could be connected to the color glass condensate approach at small x.

The Husimi distribution $h_{AB}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ is defined as the integral of the Wigner distribution $\rho_{AB}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ over the impact parameter $\mathbf{b}_\perp$ and the transverse momentum $\mathbf{k}_\perp$

$$h_{AB}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \frac{1}{\pi^2} \int d^2\mathbf{k}'_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}'_\perp \, e^{-(\mathbf{b}_\perp - \mathbf{b}'_\perp)^2/l^2} \times e^{-l^2(\mathbf{k}_\perp - \mathbf{k}'_\perp)^2} \, \rho_{AB}^q(x, \mathbf{b}'_\perp, \mathbf{k}'_\perp) \,, \quad (48)$$

where $1/l^2 = \langle \mathbf{k}_\perp^2 \rangle$ is the average transverse momentum squared.

Note that the double moment of the Husimi distribution h_{UU}^q and h_{LL}^q is the ordinary PDF:

$$f_1^{q_v}(x) = \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \, h_{UU}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \, \rho_{UU}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \,, \quad (49)$$

$$g_{1L}^{q_v}(x) = \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \, h_{LL}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) = \int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp \, \rho_{LL}^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \,. \quad (50)$$

In case of h_{UL}^q and h_{LU}^q the double moments equal zero.

In quantum mechanics the Husimi distribution $h_{\rm QM}$ is positive definite and one can define the so-called the Husimi-Wahrl entropy [27], which in our case can be extended to define the entropy of the nucleon [26]

$$S(x) = -\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp h(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \times \log\Big[h(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big] \,. \quad (51)$$

In particular, it is convenient to define two quantities

$$S_\pm^q(x) = -\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp h_\pm^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp) \times \log\Big[h_\pm^q(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)\Big] \,, \quad (52)$$

where $h_\pm^q = (h_{UU}^q \pm h_{LL}^q)/2$. Expressions for $S_\pm^q(x)$ are listed in the Appendix A.

IV. RESULTS

In this paper we do not pretend on a precise analysis of the available nucleon data. Instead we first would like to illustrate how our method works. For this purpose we use the results for the NLO helicity-independent and helicity-dependent parton distributions at $\mu^2_{\rm NLO} = 0.40$ GeV^2 from Refs. [28] and [29] as input:

$$\begin{aligned}
q(x) &= q_v(x) + \bar{q}(x) \,, \quad \delta q(x) = \delta q_v(x) + \delta\bar{q}(x) \,, \\
x u_v(x) &= 0.632\, x^{0.43} (1-x)^{3.09} (1 + 18.2x) \,, \\
d_v(x) &= 0.394\, (1-x)\, u_v(x) \,, \\
x(\bar{u} + \bar{d})(x) &= 1.24\, x^{0.20} (1-x)^{8.5} (1 - 2.3\sqrt{x} + 5.7x) \,, \\
x(\bar{d} - \bar{u})(x) &= 0.20\, x^{0.43} (1-x)^{12.4} (1 - 13.3\sqrt{x} + 60.0x) \,, \\
x\delta u_v(x) &= 2.043\, x^{0.97} (1-x)^{0.64}\, u(x) \,, \\
x\delta d_v(x) &= -2.709\, x^{1.26} (1-x)^{1.06}\, d(x) \,, \\
x\delta\bar{u}(x) &= 1.727\, x^{0.73} (1-x)^{2.00}\, \bar{u}(x) \,, \\
\delta\bar{d}(x) &= \delta\bar{u}(x) \frac{\delta u(x)}{\delta d(x)} \,.
\end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

The $D_q^{(i)}(x)$ are specified as

$$\begin{aligned}
D_q^{(1)}(x) &= \log(1/x)\, (x + 0.001)^{\alpha_q} (1-x)^{\beta_q} \,, \\
\sigma_q(x) &= N_q\, e^{-\gamma_q x}\, x^{\bar{\alpha}_q} (1-x)^{\bar{\beta}_q} \,,
\end{aligned} \quad (54)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
&\alpha_u = 0.37 \,, \ \alpha_d = -0.31 \,, \ \beta_u = 0.09 \,, \ \beta_d = -0.50 \,, \\
&N_u = 12.6 \,, \ N_d = 2.8 \,, \ \gamma_u = -3.70 \,, \ \gamma_d = -0.45 \,, \\
&\bar{\alpha}_u = 0.045 \,, \ \bar{\alpha}_d = 0 \,, \ \bar{\beta}_u = -0.60 \,, \ \bar{\beta}_d = 0 \,.
\end{aligned} \quad (55)$$

In Figs. 1-20 we plot the results for the x-dependence of the unpolarized and polarized PDFs, TMDs, Wigner and Husimi distributions, and indicate selected results for the quark and nucleon electromagnetic form factors. The data on quark decomposition of nucleon form factors are taken from Ref. [30, 31].

V. CONCLUSION

We want to summarize the main result of our paper. In the quark-scalar diquark picture we propose LFWFs for the nucleon which analytically reproduce the quark PDFs in the nucleon at the initial scale $\mu \sim 1$ GeV.

Our LFWFs contain four longitudinal wave functions $D_q^{(i)}$, $q = u, d$ and $i = 1, 2$ depending on the x variable, which are fixed from the analysis of nucleon form factors. Then we present a list of different types of nucleon parton distributions (TMDs, Wigner and Husimi distributions) in terms of the quark PDFs and the longitudinal functions $D_q^{(i)}$. Finally, we present the numerical analysis for the quark distributions in the nucleon, we also indicate selected results for the quark and nucleon form factors using a specific ansatz for the NLO helicity-independent and helicity-dependent parton distributions at $\mu_{\rm NLO} = 0.40$ GeV^2 [28, 29]. The resulting valence quark densities in the nucleon (e.g. TMDs) can be evolved to higher scales and can be compared to existing results for these quantities extracted in a data analysis.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Stan Brodsky, Lev Lipatov, Oleg Teryaev, Werner Vogelsang, and Marat Siddikov for useful discussions. This work was supported by the German Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung (BMBF) under Project 05P2015 - ALICE at High Rate (BMBF-FSP 202): "Jet- and fragmentation processes at ALICE and the parton structure of nuclei and structure of heavy hadrons", by Tomsk State University Competitiveness Improvement Program and the Russian Federation program "Nauka" (Contract No. 0.1526.2015, 3854), by CONICYT (Chile) Research Project No. 80140097 and under Grants No. 7912010025, 1140390 and FB-0821. VEL would like to thank Departamento de Física y Centro Científico Tecnológico de Valparaíso (CCTVal), Universidad Técnica Federico Santa María, Valparaíso, Chile for warm hospitality. In memory of my parents (VEL).

Appendix A: Wigner and Husimi parton distributions in the light-front quark model

The Wigner distributions $\rho^q_{UU}(\mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp, x)$ and $\omega^q_{UU}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp, x)$ read

$$\rho^q_{UU} = \frac{1}{\pi^2(1-x)^2}\left[\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(1)}(x)}\, e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(1)}(x)}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\, e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)}\left[-1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x) + \mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)\right]\right], \tag{A1}$$

$$\rho^q_{LL} = \frac{1}{\pi^2(1-x)^2}\left[\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(1)}(x)}\, e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(1)}(x)}\right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\, e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\, e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)}\left[-1 + \frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x) + \mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)\right]\right], \tag{A2}$$

$$\rho^q_{UL} = -\rho^q_{LU} = \frac{1}{\pi^2(1-x)^3}\,\epsilon^{ij}\,\mathbf{k}_\perp^i\,\mathbf{b}_\perp^j\left[q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)\right] e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\, e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\, M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)} \tag{A3}$$

and

$$\omega^q_{UU}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2}\left[\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,\alpha_q^{(1)}(x)\,e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(1)}(x)}\right.$$
$$\left. + \frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\left(\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)\right)^2\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(1)}(x)}\right], \tag{A4}$$

$$\omega^q_{LL}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = \frac{1}{\pi M_N^2}\left[\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)\,e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\right.$$
$$\left. - \frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2}\left(\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)\right)^2\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2+\frac{\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2}{4}(1-x)^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\right], \tag{A5}$$

$$\omega^q_{UL}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = -\omega^q_{LU}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = \frac{1}{\pi M_N^4}\,i\,\epsilon^{ij}\,\mathbf{k}_\perp^i\,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^j\left[q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)\right]e^{-\frac{\mathbf{k}_\perp^2}{M_N^2}\,\alpha_q^{(2)}(x)}\,e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\,M_N^2\,\beta_q^{(2)}(x)}, \tag{A6}$$

where $\alpha_q^{(i)}(x) = D_q^{(i)}(x)$, $\beta_q^{(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{(1-x)^2\,D_q^{(i)}(x)}$, $\epsilon^{12} = -\epsilon^{21} = 1$.

Integrals over the Wigner distributions are related to the TMDs, NPDs and PDFs as

$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{UU}(\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = f_1^q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,,$$
$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{LL}(\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = g_{1L}^q(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp)\,, \tag{A7}$$

$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \omega^q_{UU}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = \mathcal{H}(x,0,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)\,,$$
$$\int d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \omega^q_{LL}(\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) = \tilde{\mathcal{H}}(x,0,\mathbf{\Delta}_\perp^2)\,, \tag{A8}$$

and

$$\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{UU}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = q_v(x) = f_1^{q_v}(x)\,,$$
$$\int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{LL}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = \delta q_v(x) = g_{1L}^{q_v}(x) \tag{A9}$$

and

$$\int_0^1 dx \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{UU}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = n_q\,,$$
$$\int_0^1 dx \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{LL}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = \Delta_q\,,$$
$$\int_0^1 dx \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{UL}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = \int_0^1 dx \int d^2\mathbf{k}_\perp d^2\mathbf{b}_\perp \rho^q_{LU}(x,\mathbf{k}_\perp,\mathbf{b}_\perp) = 0\,. \tag{A10}$$

The Husimi parton distributions are given by

$$h^q_{UU}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) + h^q_{LL}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) = \frac{M_N^2 l^2}{\pi^2}\,(q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x))\,\rho_q^{(1)}(x)\,\sigma_q^{(1)}(x)\,e^{-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2\,l^2\,\rho_q^{(1)}(x)}\,e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\,M_N^2\,\sigma_q^{(1)}(x)}\,, \tag{A11}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h^q_{UU}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) - h^q_{LL}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= \frac{M_N^2 l^2}{\pi^2}\,(q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x))\,\rho_q^{(2)}(x)\,\sigma_q^{(2)}(x)\,e^{-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2\,l^2\,\rho_q^{(2)}(x)}\,e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\,M_N^2\,\sigma_q^{(2)}(x)} \\ &\times \left[1+\frac{M_N^2 l^2}{D_q^{(2)}(x)}\,\rho_q^{(2)}(x)\Big(\mathbf{k}_\perp^2 l^2 \rho_q^{(2)}(x)-1\Big)\right. \\ &+ \left. D_q^{(2)}(x)\,\sigma_q^{(2)}(x)(1-x)^2\,\Big(\mathbf{b}_\perp^2 M_N^2 \sigma_q^{(2)}(x)-1\Big)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{A12}$$

$$\begin{aligned} h^q_{UL}(x,\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp) &= -h^q_{LU}(\mathbf{b}_\perp,\mathbf{k}_\perp,x) \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi^2}\,\epsilon^{ij}\,\mathbf{k}_\perp^i\,\mathbf{b}_\perp^j\,\Big[q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)\Big]\,(1-x)\,M_N^4 l^4\,\Big[\rho_q^{(2)}(x)\,\sigma_q^{(2)}(x)\Big]^2 \\ &\times\; e^{-\mathbf{k}_\perp^2\,l^2\,\rho_q^{(2)}(x)}\,e^{-\mathbf{b}_\perp^2\,M_N^2\,\sigma_q^{(2)}(x)}\,, \end{aligned} \tag{A13}$$

where

$$\rho_q^{(i)}(x) = \frac{D_q^{(i)}(x)}{M_N^2 l^2 + D_q^{(i)}(x)}\,, \quad \sigma_q^{(i)}(x) = \frac{1}{M_N^2 l^2 + D_q^{(i)}(x)(1-x)^2}\,. \tag{A14}$$

Expressions for the entropies of the nucleon $S^q_\pm(x)$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} S^q_+(x) &= (q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x))\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\log\Big(\frac{q_v(x)+\delta q_v(x)}{2\pi^2}\Big)\right], \\ S^q_-(x) &= (q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x))\left[1-\frac{1}{2}\log\Big(\frac{q_v(x)-\delta q_v(x)}{2\pi^2}\Big)\right. \\ &- \frac{1}{2}\log(B) - \frac{A_1+A_2}{4}\Big(A_1\int_0^\infty \frac{dte^{-t}}{A_1 t+B} + A_2\int_0^\infty \frac{dte^{-t}}{A_2 t+B}\Big) \\ &- \left.\frac{A_1^2+A_2^2}{4}\int_0^\infty\int_0^\infty \frac{dt_1 dt_2 e^{-t_1-t_2}}{A_1 t_1 + A_2 t_2 + B}\right] \end{aligned} \tag{A15}$$

where

$$A_1 = \frac{D_q^{(2)}(x)(1-x)^2}{M_N^2 l^2 + D_q^{(2)}(x)(1-x)^2}\,,\; A_2 = \frac{M_N^2 l^2}{M_N^2 l^2 + D_q^{(2)}(x)}\,,\; B = 1-A_1-A_2\,. \tag{A16}$$

[1] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Phys. Rev. D **89**, 054033 (2014).

[2] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Phys. Rev. D **91**, 054028 (2015).

[3] S. J. Brodsky and G. R. Farrar, Phys. Rev. Lett. **31**, 1153 (1973); V. A. Matveev, R. M. Muradian and A. N. Tavkhelidze, Lett. Nuovo Cim. **7**, 719 (1973).

[4] V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 438 (1972) [Yad. Fiz. **15**, 781 (1972)]; V. N. Gribov and L. N. Lipatov, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **15**, 675 (1972) [Yad. Fiz. **15**, 1218 (1972)]; G. Altarelli and G. Parisi, Nucl. Phys. B **126**, 298 (1977); Y. L. Dokshitzer, Sov. Phys. JETP **46**, 641 (1977) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **73**, 1216 (1977)].

[5] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, J. Phys. G **42**, no. 9, 095005 (2015).

[6] S. J. Brodsky, G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 056007 (2008); G. F. de Teramond and S. J. Brodsky, AIP Conf. Proc. **1432**, 168 (2012); S. J. Brodsky and G. F. de Teramond, AIP Conf. Proc. **1388**, 22 (2011).

[7] S. J. Brodsky, F. -G. Cao and G. F. de Teramond, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 075012 (2011).

[8] Z. Abidin and C. E. Carlson, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 115003 (2009).



FIG. 1: u quark PDFs multiplied with x.



FIG. 2: d quark PDFs multiplied with x.



FIG. 3: u quark TMDs multiplied with x.



FIG. 4: d quark TMDs multiplied with x.



FIG. 5: Dirac u quark form factor multiplied by Q^4.



FIG. 6: Dirac d quark form factor multiplied by Q^4.



FIG. 7: Pauli u quark form factor multiplied by Q^4.



FIG. 8: Pauli d quark form factor multiplied by Q^4.

[9] A. Vega, I. Schmidt, T. Branz, T. Gutsche and V. E. Lyubovitskij, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 055014 (2009); T. Branz, T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt, A. Vega, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 074022 (2010); T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 056001 (2013).

[10] T. Gutsche, V. E. Lyubovitskij, I. Schmidt and A. Vega, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 076003 (2012); Phys. Rev. D **86**, 036007 (2012); Phys. Rev. D **87**, 016017 (2013).

[11] A. V. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D **58**, 114008 (1998).

[12] S. J. Brodsky, S. D. Drell, Phys. Rev. D **22**, 2236 (1980).



FIG. 9: Dirac proton form factor multiplied by Q^4.



FIG. 10: Dirac neutron form factor multiplied by Q^4.

[13] S. J. Brodsky and D. S. Hwang, Nucl. Phys. B **543**, 239 (1999); S. J. Brodsky, D. S. Hwang, B. -Q. Ma and I. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. B **593**, 311 (2001); S. J. Brodsky, M. Diehl and D. S. Hwang, Nucl. Phys. B **596**, 99 (2001).

[14] A. Bacchetta, F. Conti and M. Radici, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074010 (2008).

[15] T. Maji, C. Mondal, D. Chakrabarti and O. V. Teryaev, JHEP **1601**, 165 (2016).

[16] A. Bacchetta, A. Courtoy and M. Radici, JHEP **1303**, 119 (2013) doi:10.1007/JHEP03(2013)119 [arXiv:1212.3568 [hep-ph]].

[17] M. Anselmino, M. Boglione, U. D'Alesio, S. Melis, F. Murgia and A. Prokudin, Phys. Rev. D **87**, 094019 (2013).

[18] A. Signori, A. Bacchetta, M. Radici and G. Schnell, JHEP **1311**, 194 (2013).

[19] M. Radici, A. Courtoy, A. Bacchetta and M. Guagnelli, JHEP **1505**, 123 (2015).

[20] Z. B. Kang, A. Prokudin, P. Sun and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **93**, no. 1, 014009 (2016).

[21] J. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **74**, 1292 (1995); A. Bacchetta, M. Boglione, A. Henneman and P. J. Mulders, Phys. Rev. Lett. **85**, 712 (2000); A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer, O. V. Teryaev and P. Zavada, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 014021 (2009); H. Avakian, A. V. Efremov, P. Schweitzer and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 074035 (2010).

[22] X. d. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **91**, 062001 (2003) A. V. Belitsky, X. d. Ji and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 074014 (2004)

[23] S. Meissner, A. Metz, M. Schlegel and K. Goeke, JHEP **0808**, 038 (2008); S. Meissner, A. Metz and M. Schlegel, JHEP **0908**, 056 (2009); C. Lorce and B. Pasquini, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 014015 (2011); C. Lorce, B. Pasquini, X. Xiong and F. Yuan, Phys. Rev. D **85**, 114006 (2012).



FIG. 11: Wigner distribution $\rho^u_{UU}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 12: Wigner distribution $\rho^d_{UU}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 13: Wigner distribution $\rho^u_{LL}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 14: Wigner distribution $\rho^d_{LL}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 15: Wigner distribution $\rho^u_{UL}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 16: Wigner distribution $\rho^d_{UL}(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 17: Husimi distribution $h^u_+(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $l = 1$ GeV^{-1}, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 18: Husimi distribution $h^d_+(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $l = 1$ GeV^{-1}, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 19: Husimi distribution $h^u_-(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $l = 1$ GeV^{-1}, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.



FIG. 20: Husimi distribution $h^d_-(x, \mathbf{b}_\perp, \mathbf{k}_\perp)$ at $x = 0.5$, $l = 1$ GeV^{-1}, $k_x = k_y = 0.5$ GeV.

[24] D. Chakrabarti, T. Maji, C. Mondal and A. Mukherjee, Eur. Phys. J. C **76**, 409 (2016)
[25] X. D. Ji, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 610 (1997).
[26] Y. Hagiwara and Y. Hatta, Nucl. Phys. A **940**, 158 (2015) Y. Hatta and Y. Hagiwara, EPJ Web Conf. **112**, 01010 (2016).
[27] A. Wehrl, Rev. Mod. Phys. **50**, 221 (1978).
[28] M. Gluck, E. Reya and A. Vogt, Eur. Phys. J. C **5**, 461 (1998).
[29] M. Gluck, E. Reya, M. Stratmann and W. Vogelsang, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 094005 (2001).
[30] M. Diehl and P. Kroll, Eur. Phys. J. C **73**, 2397 (2013); M. Diehl, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **161**, 49 (2006).
[31] G. D. Cates, C. W. de Jager, S. Riordan and B. Wojtsekhowski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 252003 (2011).